

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/748,431	SMITH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Mahmoudi	2165

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) John C. Pokotylo (Attorney of Record).

(3) ____.

(2) Tony Mahmoudi.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2006

Time: 10:00 am EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Response to Final Rejection (After-Final Amendment)

Claims discussed:

30

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner called the Attorney on 6/12/2006 to discuss a 101 issue with claim 30, reciting "a computer readable medium", which according to the specification, comprised of a carrier wave. On 6/13/2006, he Attorney e-mailed the Examiner a proposed amendment to claim 30, overcoming this issue by amending the computer readable medium, limiting it to "memory or storage device storing instructions", for entering as an Examiner's Amendment.